

Clear Resolutions Inc.

An Independent Review Organization

6800 W. Gate Blvd., #132-323

Austin, TX 78745

Phone: (512) 879-6370

Fax: (512) 519-7316

Email: resolutions.manager@cri-iro.com

NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DECISION

DATE NOTICE SENT TO ALL PARTIES: May/06/2014

IRO CASE #:

DESCRIPTION OF THE SERVICE OR SERVICES IN DISPUTE: bilateral L4-5, L5-S1 facet injection

A DESCRIPTION OF THE QUALIFICATIONS FOR EACH PHYSICIAN OR OTHER HEALTH CARE PROVIDER WHO REVIEWED THE DECISION: M.D., Board Certified Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation and Pain Medicine

REVIEW OUTCOME: Upon independent review, the reviewer finds that the previous adverse determination/adverse determinations should be:

- ☒ Upheld (Agree)
- ☐ Overturned (Disagree)
- ☐ Partially Overturned (Agree in part/Disagree in part)

Provide a description of the review outcome that clearly states whether medical necessity exists for each health care service in dispute. It is the opinion of the reviewer that the request for bilateral L4-5, L5-S1 facet injection is not recommended as medically necessary.

INFORMATION PROVIDED TO THE IRO FOR REVIEW:

PATIENT CLINICAL HISTORY [SUMMARY]: The patient is a male whose date of injury is xx/xx/xx. The patient tried to catch a falling object and felt a pop in his back. Lumbar MRI dated 07/25/13 revealed at L4-5 there is a diffuse circumferential disc bulge; focal increased T2 brightness at the central/left paracentral annulus consistent with annular fissure and/or annular tear. Spinal canal is minimally narrowed. The left L4-5 neural foramina is asymmetrically narrowed. There is moderate facet hypertrophy present. At L5-S1 the disc is well hydrated and well-maintained. The spinal canal and neural foramina are well-maintained. There is mild facet hypertrophy. The patient underwent lumbar interlaminar epidural injection on 11/08/13. Follow up note dated 12/13/13 indicates that he is still working regular duty but has a lifting restriction. Follow up note dated 01/16/14 indicates that he no longer has leg pain as it resolved with the epidural steroid injection. Physical examination on 01/16/14 indicates there is tenderness to palpation over L4-5 and L5-S1. There is pain with spinal extension. Deep tendon reflexes are 2+ bilaterally. Sensation is intact in the lower extremities. Progress note dated 02/04/14 indicates that the patient has completed 2 physical therapy visits, and has had multiple no-shows and cancellation of appointments.

Initial request for bilateral L4-5, L5-S1 facet injection was non-certified on 02/14/14 noting that given his prior exam findings, multiple pain generators, MRI findings it is unlikely that a facet injection would be of any significant long term benefit. The denial was upheld on appeal dated 03/19/14 noting that the claimant carries a diagnosis of lumbar raid and has had a positive response to a lumbar epidural steroid injection. Lumbar radiculopathy is a contraindication for lumbar facet injection.

ANALYSIS AND EXPLANATION OF THE DECISION INCLUDE CLINICAL BASIS, FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS USED TO SUPPORT THE DECISION: The patient sustained injuries on xx/xx/xx. Progress note dated 02/04/14 indicates that the patient has completed 2 physical therapy visits, and has had multiple no-shows and cancellation of appointments. The Official Disability Guidelines require documentation of failure of conservative treatment (including home exercise, PT and NSAIDs) prior to the procedure for at least 4-6 weeks. As such, it is the opinion of the reviewer that the request for bilateral L4-5, L5-S1 facet injection is not recommended as medically necessary.

A DESCRIPTION AND THE SOURCE OF THE SCREENING CRITERIA OR OTHER CLINICAL BASIS USED TO MAKE THE DECISION:

☐ ACOEM-AMERICA COLLEGE OF OCCUPATIONAL & ENVIRONMENTAL MEDICINE UM KNOWLEDGEBASE

☐ AHCPR-AGENCY FOR HEALTHCARE RESEARCH & QUALITY GUIDELINES

☐ DWC-DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION POLICIES OR GUIDELINES

☐ EUROPEAN GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN

☐ INTERQUAL CRITERIA

☒ MEDICAL JUDGEMENT, CLINICAL EXPERIENCE, AND EXPERTISE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ACCEPTED MEDICAL STANDARDS

☐ MERCY CENTER CONSENSUS CONFERENCE GUIDELINES

☐ MILLIMAN CARE GUIDELINES

☒ ODG-OFFICIAL DISABILITY GUIDELINES & TREATMENT GUIDELINES

☐ PRESSLEY REED, THE MEDICAL DISABILITY ADVISOR

☐ TEXAS GUIDELINES FOR CHIROPRACTIC QUALITY ASSURANCE & PRACTICE PARAMETERS

☐ TEXAS TACADA GUIDELINES

☐ TMF SCREENING CRITERIA MANUAL

☐ PEER REVIEWED NATIONALLY ACCEPTED MEDICAL LITERATURE (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)

☐ OTHER EVIDENCE BASED, SCIENTIFICALLY VALID, OUTCOME FOCUSED GUIDELINES (PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION)